IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 ROBERT PAUL,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-04-1589 DFL GGH P

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

VS.

13 T. GUZMAN, et al.,

Defendants.

By order filed June 24, 2005, the magistrate judge's order of April 11, 2005 was confirmed by the district judge, and plaintiff was directed to file a second amended complaint within thirty days. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed a second amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the

1	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
2	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
3	DATED: 11/16/05
4	
5	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE GGH:035
7	paul1589.fta
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	